Rating Report

STRK - Starknet

Q2 2025

Free report

<u>Disclaimer</u>. This report is not financial advice and it is made voluntary by our team. COIN4RATING is not responsible for any error included in this report.

Issued by

C4r

The purpose of this report is to gather all the important characteristics of the cryptocurrency or token. The report is performed in the following order:

- 1. Basic information
- 2. Analysis
- 3. Conclusion

Note that the result of this report should be interpreted as an independent analysis, not as a signal to buy or sell an asset. Please, always DYOR.

1. Basic information

STRK (StarkNet) is the native token of StarkNet, a decentralized, permissionless Layer 2 (L2) scalability solution built on Ethereum. StarkNet leverages zero-knowledge rollup (ZK-rollup) technology to enable fast, low-cost transactions while maintaining Ethereum's security. Their primary purposes are:

- **Governance**: STRK is designed to empower its holders with voting rights in the decentralized governance of StarkNet. Token holders can propose and decide on protocol upgrades, fee structures, and other critical parameters, ensuring the network evolves in a community-driven manner.
- Staking and Security. The token may be used in staking mechanisms to secure the network, incentivizing validators and participants who contribute to StarkNet's robustness and efficiency.

Initially introduced as a governance and utility token, STRK is expected to expand its use cases as StarkNet grows. Its integration with Ethereum's scalability solutions positions it as a key player in the broader web3 landscape, particularly in decentralized finance (DeFi), gaming, and other high-throughput applications.



2. Analysis

In the following tables we will show the different areas researched, such as tech, strategy and economy.

STRK								
Tech	Туре	Cryptocurrency		Token		Others		
	Layer	0	1	2	3	Others		
	Blockchain	Ethereum	Cosmos	Solana	BNB Chain	Others		
	Category	Utility	Security	Stable	Meme	Others		
	Consensus	PoW	PoS	PoA	РоВ	Others		
	ISO	Yes			No			
	Bug Bounty	Yes			No			
	Audits	Yes			No			
	Auto Audit (1)	0	1	2	3	4	5	

^{*(1)} The auto audit score is performed by 6 different digital audits: Certik Skynet, Quick Intel, Go Plus, Honeypot, Token Sniffer and StaySAFU through the DEXTools app

On the tech side, STRK operates as a Layer 2 token on Ethereum, utilizing ZK-Rollup technology to deliver scalability and low fees while maintaining Ethereum's security.

The project has undergone audits by Nethermind and PeckShield, and its commitment to security is further reinforced by open, perpetual audit programs with CodeHawks and Code Arena, along with an active bug bounty initiative performed by Immunefi platform.

However, the absence of an ISO certification slightly limits its score in this block.

STRK									
Strategy	Governance	Centr	alized	Decentralized		Others			
	Open Source	Yes			No				
	Roadmap	Yes			No				
	Partnerships		Yes		No				
	Public Team	Yes			No				
	Social media	Abandoned		Active		Others			
	CAPED (2)	0	1	2	3	4	5		

^{*(2)} The CAPED score is an analysis of capitalization, trader's activity, price performance, development and engagement. This analysis was deployed initially by the Alpha Intelligence team of Hacken

Strategically, STRK demonstrates strong foundations. It boasts a public roadmap, decentralized governance, and high-profile partnerships with Alt Layer, Cartridge, or the Ethereum Foundation.

On the other hand, the team maintains transparency, and its social media presence remains active, reflecting ongoing engagement. These elements position STRK well within the competitive Layer 2 landscape.

STRK								
Economy	Supply	Limited			Infinite			
	Burn		Yes		No			
	Collateral	Yes			No			
	Buybacks	Yes			No			
	Tokenomics (3)	0	1	2	3	4	5	

^{*(3)} The tokenomics score is performed by COIN4RATING considering factors as supply, burn mechanism, distribution, vesting and possibility of staking/liquidity pools

For tokenomics, STRK earns a medium rating (3/5). While it features a limited supply and clear utility in governance and staking, the lack of a burn mechanism or collateral keeps it from achieving a higher score.

Nevertheless, its structured use cases and ecosystem incentives provide a balanced economic model.

Overall, the analysis has been carried out satisfactorily.



3. Conclusion

The report provides a comprehensive yet concise analysis of the project's key characteristics. After thorough investigation, we confidently assess the project's performance as achieving the following rating:



(*) Note: Rating is from AAA+ to C- scoring.





